(ase 3:13-cv-03391-K Document 10 I	Filed 07/19/13	Page 1 of 2	PageID 107		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Alexander G. Calfo (SBN 152891) alexander.calfo@btlaw.com Kelley S. Olah (SBN 245180) kelley.olah@btlaw.com BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3550 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 284-3880 Facsimile: (310) 284-3894 Attorneys for Defendants DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. and JO JOHNSON SERVICES, INC.	OHNSON &				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
10						
11	TED GINCIG,	Case No. CV	/13-3181-KAV	V		
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE	: STIPULAT	ION TO		
13	V.	STAY ALL	PROCEEDIN	IGS		
14	DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.;		L DEMANDE	D		
15	THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D., a Professional Corporation; THOMAS VA	Trial Date:	None Set			
1617	M.D.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC. and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,					
18	Defendant.					
19						
20	TO ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:					
21	Upon consideration of the Stipulation to Stay Proceedings submitted by Plaintiff TED					
22	GINCIG and Defendants DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON					
23	SERVICES, INC., and THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D. A PROFESSIONAL					
24	CORPORATION (hereafter collectively "the Parties"); upon consideration of all documents,					
25	files, and pleadings in this action; and upon good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED that:					
26	1. The Parties' request for a stay of proceedings is GRANTED;					
27	2. All proceedings in this acti	2. All proceedings in this action are hereby stayed, pending a decision by the Judicial				
28 LP	Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on wheth	ner this case shoul	d be transferre	d to <i>In re DePuy</i> CV13-3181-KAW		

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

(ase 3:13-cv-03391-K Document 10 Filed 07/19/13 Page 2 of 2 PageID 108				
1	Orthopaedics, Inc. Pinnacle Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 2244.				
2	3. Deadlines relating to any outstanding responsive pleading are extended pending				
3	entry of a Scheduling Order in the MDL court addressing responsive pleadings and the deadline				
4	to file any remand motion is extended pursuant to the MDL court's August 14, 2012 "Order				
5	Regarding Cases Removed from State Court," and any subsequent orders that the MDL Court				
6	may issue.				
7					
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
9	W. MOO				
10	Dated: July 19 , 2013 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	- 2 - CV13-3181-KAW				

BARNES &
THORNBURG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES